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1. Introduction
   1. verall, this paper discusses, although briefly, the differences between fellowship and servant leadership. It describes how the former is delegated less responsibility, more independence, and less reliance on the daily interactions or events they partake in.
   2. Individuals expect a level of prestige, responsibility, and comfort with the people who claim to be their leaders. Thus, it requires that individuals implore a leadership model that serves the needs of everyone in the group.
2. Fellowship
   1. Fellowship also incurs different definitions depending on the publication one is reading, but it can be defined as “people who act with intelligence, independence, courage, and a strong sense of ethics” (Kelley, 1992).
   2. There is a general lack of responsibility or stakeholder involvement because these individuals, although working as a cohesive unit, are not held to the same applicability standards as the leader is.
3. Servant Leadership
   1. In contrast, servant leadership, at its core, is a philosophy. One that proposes a “set of practices that enriches the lives of individuals, builds better organizations,” and creates a just and comforting world for all inhabitants (Greenleaf, 2020).
   2. Similarly, a servant leader has to be a compassionate, patient, and empathetic individual because they serve as a counseling or respected individual in their group, which team members will look for advice.
4. Contrast/COmpare
   1. Lastly, servant leaders must place other people before themselves. They must airways maintain a level of safety and security.
   2. Moreover, there are often where one assumes both positions of independence while also maintaining a leadership level for a specific task or action.
5. Conclusion
   1. Individuals expect a level of prestige, responsibility, and comfort with the people who claim to be their leaders. Thus, it requires that individuals implore a leadership model that serves the needs of everyone in the group.
   2. Although the two groups share a sense of commonality in fighting for the same team, there is no question the two styles are distinctly different in their aims and objectives.